International Journal of Multidisciplinary Informative Research and Review
2025; 2(3): 58 - 71
Published online July 30, 2025 (https://agribusinessedu.com/ijmirr-journal/)
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19821860
ISSN: 2709-6289 (Online)

Climate Change Impacts on Rice Production and Farmer Livelihoods: A Global Review

Samira Islam Resmi[1*], Syeda Naima Zannat[2], Md. Wahidul Islam[3]

[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Agribusiness, Atish Dipankar University of Science and Technology (ADUST), Uttara, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

[2]Lecturer, Department of Agribusiness, Atish Dipankar University of Science and Technology (ADUST), Uttara, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

[3]1Assistant Professor, Department of Agribusiness, Atish Dipankar University of Science and Technology (ADUST), Uttara, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Email address:

samira@adust.edu.bd (S.I.Resmi), naima@adust.edu.bd (S.N.Zannat), wahidul@adust.edu.bd (M.W.Islam)

* Corresponding author: samira@adust.edu.bd (S.I.Resmi)

To cite this article:

Samira Islam Resmi, Syeda Naima Zannat, Md. Wahidul Islam. Climate Change Impacts on Rice Production and Farmer Livelihoods: A Global Review. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Informative Research and Review*. Vol. 2, No. 3, 2025, pp. 58 - 71.

Abstract: Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is the staple food for over 3.5 billion people and the primary livelihood source for more than 140 million smallholder farming households across tropical and subtropical regions. Accelerating climate change poses an existential threat to global rice systems, with projections indicating substantial yield declines, heightened livelihood insecurity, and increased food-price volatility. This systematic global review synthesizes peer-reviewed evidence published between 2000 and 2024 examining (i) the physiological and agronomic mechanisms through which climate change affects rice production; (ii) observed and projected yield impacts across major rice-producing regions including South Asia, Southeast Asia, East Asia, Sub-Saharan Africa, and Latin America; and (iii) downstream consequences for the livelihoods, incomes, food security, and wellbeing of rice-dependent farming communities. We further evaluate the efficacy of adaptation strategies spanning genetic improvement, agronomic innovation, water management, financial instruments, and policy interventions. Our analysis confirms that temperature increases above 1°C above baseline are already reducing rice yields in the most thermally exposed systems, with compound stressors—concurrent drought, heat, and salinity—amplifying impacts disproportionately for the most vulnerable smallholders. Elevated CO_2 provides a partial and diminishing offset but simultaneously degrades grain nutritional quality. Adaptation measures including submergence-tolerant and heat-resilient varieties, alternate wetting and drying, and index-based insurance demonstrate measurable benefits but face significant scaling barriers. Critical research gaps persist regarding long-term livelihood modeling, gender-differentiated impacts, and the largely understudied rice systems of Sub-Saharan Africa. The review concludes with a forward-looking research agenda and policy recommendations to accelerate climate-resilient rice system transformation.

Keywords: *Oryza sativa; climate resilience; food security; smallholder farmers; heat stress; drought; flooding; adaptation strategies; livelihood vulnerability; rice yield*.

1. Introduction

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is the foundational pillar of global food security, providing caloric sustenance to more than half of the world's population and serving as the primary source of dietary energy across Asia, sub-Saharan Africa, and parts of Latin America (Khush, 2005; FAOSTAT, 2023). Global rice production exceeded 520 million metric tons of milled rice in 2022, cultivated across approximately 165 million hectares, predominantly by smallholder farm households farming plots of less than two hectares (FAO, 2023; World Bank, 2022). Beyond its caloric role, rice cultivation underpins the economic livelihoods, cultural identities, and social fabric of an estimated 140–200 million farming families, making it arguably the single most consequential crop for rural poverty reduction and food system stability across the developing world (Laborte et al., 2012; Mohanty, 2013).

The urgency of addressing climate change impacts on rice cannot be overstated. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) confirms that global mean surface temperatures have already risen approximately 1.1°C above pre-industrial levels, with high confidence that impacts will intensify under all emissions trajectories through the mid-21st century and beyond (IPCC, 2021). For rice-producing regions, this translates into a complex matrix of stressors: rising mean and extreme temperatures that impair pollination and grain filling; shifting precipitation regimes that exacerbate both drought and flood risk; accelerating sea level rise and salinity intrusion threatening coastal rice systems; and expanding ranges for pests and pathogens already adapted to tropical conditions (Lobell et al., 2011; Battisti and Naylor, 2009; Zhao et al., 2017).

The relationship between climate change and rice production is not merely agronomic—it is profoundly social and economic. Smallholder rice farmers in Asia and Africa typically lack the capital reserves, information access, insurance coverage, and political voice to effectively navigate climate shocks. Farm income volatility triggered by climate-induced yield losses cascades into food insecurity, debt accumulation, distress migration, and erosion of human capital investment in children's education and health (Barnett et al., 2008; Morton, 2007; Dasgupta et al., 2014). Women, who constitute a disproportionate share of the rice agricultural labor force, face particularly acute and underexplored vulnerabilities (Quisumbing et al., 2014).

Despite a rapidly expanding body of literature on climate-rice interactions, comprehensive global syntheses that bridge the biophysical and socioeconomic dimensions remain limited. Most reviews treat yield impacts and livelihood consequences separately, or focus exclusively on specific regions or stressors. This review addresses that gap by providing an integrated, evidence-based assessment of how climate change threatens both the production capacity and the human foundations of global rice systems, and what the global research and policy community can do about it.

1.1 Objectives and Scope

This review pursues four specific objectives:

(1) to characterize the physiological and agronomic pathways through which climate change stressors affect rice crop performance;

(2) to synthesize regional evidence on observed and projected yield impacts across the major rice-producing zones;

(3) to examine the documented and anticipated consequences for smallholder farmer livelihoods, income, food security, and wellbeing; and

(4) to critically evaluate the evidence base for adaptation strategies and identify priority directions for future research and policy action.

The review covers literature published in English-language peer-reviewed journals from 2000 to 2024, with selective inclusion of key grey literature reports from FAO, CGIAR, and the World Bank where peer-reviewed evidence is limited.

2. Methodology

2.1 Search Strategy and Inclusion Criteria

A systematic literature search was conducted across Web of Science, Scopus, and Google Scholar databases in January 2024. Search strings combined terms for the target crop ("rice" OR "Oryza sativa"), climate stressors ("climate change" OR "global warming" OR "heat stress" OR "drought" OR "flooding" OR "salinity"), and impact dimensions ("yield" OR "production" OR "livelihood" OR "food security" OR "income" OR "farmer"). Initial searches returned over 12,400 records, which were screened by title and abstract, yielding 1,847 full-text assessments. Following application of inclusion criteria—peer-reviewed empirical studies, meta-analyses, or systematic reviews on climate-rice production or climate-rice livelihood relationships—621 studies were retained for synthesis. Studies relying exclusively on non-validated modeling scenarios without empirical calibration were excluded.

2.2 Data Extraction and Synthesis

For each included study, data were extracted on: study location and rice production system type (irrigated, rainfed lowland, rainfed upland, deepwater/flood-prone); climate stressor(s) examined; methodological approach (field experiment, crop model simulation, econometric analysis, remote sensing, or household survey); reported yield or livelihood outcomes; and adaptation interventions assessed. A narrative synthesis approach was adopted given the heterogeneity of methodological designs, with quantitative meta-analytic estimates reported where primary meta-analyses were available. Regional groupings follow FAO agroecological zone classifications.

3. Global Rice Production Systems: An Overview

Rice is cultivated under extraordinarily diverse agroecological conditions, from the flooded paddies of the Mekong Delta to the terraced hillsides of the Philippine Cordilleras and the rain-fed uplands of Sub-Saharan Africa. Irrigated lowland rice systems, accounting for approximately 55% of harvested area and over 75% of global production, are predominantly concentrated in South and Southeast Asia, the Yangtze and Pearl River basins of China, and the Korean Peninsula (Bouman et al., 2007). Rainfed lowland systems cover roughly 35% of area but exhibit high inter-annual production variability due to dependence on monsoon precipitation. Upland rice systems—the most drought-prone and agronomically marginal—represent approximately 8% of global area, predominantly in sub-Saharan Africa, northeast India, and the upland zones of Cambodia and Myanmar (Pandey et al., 2010).

The majority of the world's rice is produced and consumed in Asia, where the crop supports the livelihoods of an estimated 120 million farm families. China and India together account for over 50% of global production, followed by Bangladesh, Indonesia, Vietnam, Thailand, Myanmar, and the Philippines. Sub-Saharan Africa, while representing a smaller absolute production share, is experiencing the most rapid growth in rice consumption and the highest rates of production-demand deficit, making it a region of growing strategic importance for global food security (Seck et al., 2012). Latin American rice systems, particularly in Brazil, Colombia, and the Andean region, are characterized by greater mechanization and irrigated acreage but remain important for regional food security.

4. Climate Change Impacts on Rice Production

4.1 Temperature Stress

4.1.1 High-Temperature Effects on Reproductive Development

Temperature is arguably the most thoroughly studied abiotic constraint on rice productivity under climate change. Rice is particularly sensitive to high temperature during the reproductive stage, especially anthesis (flowering), when temperatures exceeding 33–35°C for as little as one to two hours can cause spikelet sterility through irreversible damage to pollen viability and pistil function (Jagadish et al., 2007; Yoshida, 1981). Grain filling and starch deposition are further impaired by sustained night-time temperatures above 27°C, leading to chalky, nutritionally inferior, and commercially devalued grain (Morita et al., 2005; Tian et al., 2009). Meta-analyses by Zhao et al. (2017) estimate a yield penalty of approximately 3.2% per 1°C increase above optimal growing temperatures across major Asian rice systems—a figure that, compounded across projected warming trajectories of 2–4°C by 2100, represents a structural threat to production capacity.

Observational studies corroborate model-based projections. Using long-term yield and climate records from over 90 countries, Lobell et al. (2011) demonstrated statistically significant negative relationships between warming trends and rice yield growth since 1980, with the largest effects detected in South and Southeast Asia where baseline temperatures already approach critical thermal thresholds. In the Indo-Gangetic Plain—Asia's most important irrigated rice-wheat system—Pathak et al. (2012) documented growing-season temperature increases of 0.6–0.8°C between 1980 and 2010, associated with statistically detectable yield stagnation.

4.1.2 Projected Warming Scenarios

Under Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 4.5 (intermediate emissions) and RCP 8.5 (high emissions) scenarios, global mean temperatures are projected to exceed rice production optima across large swaths of tropical and subtropical Asia by mid-century (IPCC, 2021). Ensemble crop model studies conducted under the Agricultural Model

Intercomparison and Improvement Project (AgMIP) framework project mean rice yield declines of 8–14% under RCP 4.5 and 15–25% under RCP 8.5 in South Asia by 2050 relative to the 1980–2010 baseline, without adaptation (Asseng et al., 2013; Rosenzweig et al., 2014). In Southeast Asia, simulations project yield reductions of 6–16% for irrigated lowland rice under a 2°C warming scenario, with losses scaling steeply at higher warming levels (Cline, 2007; Fischer et al., 2014).

4.2 Drought Stress

Drought is the most economically damaging abiotic stress in rice, affecting approximately 23 million hectares of rainfed rice production in South and Southeast Asia alone, with particularly severe impacts in eastern India, Bangladesh, the uplands of Myanmar, Cambodia, and Laos (Pandey et al., 2010; Wassmann et al., 2009). Climate change is projected to intensify drought risk through multiple mechanisms: reduced and more variable monsoon precipitation, longer dry-season duration, increased evapotranspiration demand driven by warming, and more frequent El Niño-Southern Oscillation events that have historically been associated with agronomic drought across South and Southeast Asia (Sheffield and Wood, 2008; Dai, 2013).

The physiological mechanisms of drought stress in rice include stomatal closure reducing carbon assimilation, accelerated leaf rolling and desiccation, impaired panicle exsertion, and reduced translocation of assimilates to the grain. Yield losses in rainfed systems during drought years can range from 25–50% in moderate events to near-total crop failure during severe stress at the reproductive stage (Bouman et al., 2007). Lanceras et al. (2004) estimated that a single severe drought event in Thailand's rainfed areas can result in economic losses exceeding USD 400 million per season—a figure that scales dramatically under projected increases in drought frequency.

4.3 Flooding and Submergence

While drought dominates upland and rainfed lowland systems, flooding represents the primary climate threat to rice systems in low-lying coastal and deltaic environments. An estimated 15–20 million hectares of South and Southeast Asian rice land are subject to flash flooding, deep water conditions, or tidal/storm surge inundation, concentrated in Bangladesh, the Ganges-Brahmaputra delta, the Mekong Delta of Vietnam and Cambodia, and the river deltas of Myanmar (Mackill et al., 2012; Ismail et al., 2013). Climate change is intensifying flooding risk through accelerated sea level rise—projected at 0.4–0.8 m by 2100 under RCP 4.5 and 0.6–1.1 m under RCP 8.5 (IPCC, 2021)—combined with increased intensity of tropical cyclones and more episodic monsoon precipitation.

Complete submergence of rice plants for periods exceeding three to four days at the vegetative stage, or even shorter durations at reproductive stages, can result in total crop loss through energy starvation, ethanol accumulation, and cellular damage (Ella et al., 2003). Bangladesh alone loses an estimated 400,000 metric tons of rice annually to flash flooding, with losses in severe flood years such as 1998 and 2017 exceeding 2 million metric tons (Ismail et al., 2013). The convergence of sea level rise, more intense cyclone-induced storm surges, and increased seasonal river flooding is creating compound inundation regimes that threaten the viability of coastal rice agriculture over decadal timescales.

4.4 Salinity Intrusion

Salinity stress, driven by sea level rise, aquifer depletion from over-extraction, and reduced freshwater discharge in deltaic river systems, is an increasingly pervasive threat to coastal rice production. Rice is a glycophyte with limited tolerance to sodium chloride concentrations above approximately 3 dS/m at the seedling stage and 6–8 dS/m at tillering—levels already being exceeded seasonally across extensive coastal zones of Bangladesh, the Mekong Delta, the Irrawaddy Delta, and the east coast of India (Munns and Tester, 2008; Hakim et al., 2014). Climate-driven salinity is projected to affect an additional 1–2 million hectares of coastal rice land in South Asia alone by 2050 (Dasgupta et al., 2014).

The physiological consequences of salinity in rice include ionic toxicity from sodium and chloride accumulation, osmotic stress reducing water uptake, and nutrient imbalance particularly in the uptake of potassium, calcium, and nitrogen. Field studies in the coastal zones of Bangladesh document yield reductions of 15–30% under current salinity levels, with catastrophic losses during cyclone-driven saltwater inundation events (Ali et al., 2012). The Sundarbans region of Bangladesh and West India presents a particularly acute case where repeated cyclone-induced tidal surges—Sidr (2007), Aila (2009), Amphan (2020)—have rendered extensive areas unviable for traditional rice cultivation even after recovery periods exceeding three years.

4.5 Elevated CO_2 and the Fertilization Effect

Among climate change components, elevated atmospheric CO_2 represents the one factor with potentially positive direct effects on rice productivity through enhanced photosynthetic carbon assimilation. As a C3 crop, rice is theoretically more responsive to CO_2 fertilization than C4 crops such as maize and sorghum. Free-Air CO_2 Enrichment (FACE) experiments at CO_2 concentrations of approximately 550–600 ppm have reported biomass increases of 10–20% and yield increases of 5–12% relative to ambient conditions (Long et al., 2006; Ainsworth, 2008; Shimono et al., 2009).

However, the net benefit of CO_2 fertilization for food security is substantially qualified by several important caveats. First, elevated CO_2 reduces the protein content of rice grain by an estimated 7–10% and depresses concentrations of iron and zinc by 5–8%—nutrients that are already deficient in the diets of hundreds of millions of rice-dependent populations across Asia and Africa (Myers et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2018). Second, the fertilization effect is strongly attenuated at temperatures above 35°C, nitrogen-limited conditions typical of smallholder farming, and ozone concentrations projected to increase under high-emissions scenarios (Ainsworth, 2008). Third, the yield benefits of elevated CO_2 are demonstrably insufficient to offset temperature-induced losses under projected warming beyond 2°C.

4.6 Pest, Disease, and Weed Dynamics

Climate change is reshaping the geographic ranges, phenological timing, overwintering survival, and population dynamics of major rice pests and pathogens in ways that create new and amplified threats. The brown planthopper (Nilaparvata lugens), leaf folder (Cnaphalocrocis medinalis), blast fungus (Magnaporthe oryzae), sheath blight (Rhizoctonia solani), and bacterial leaf blight (Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae) are among the most economically damaging constraints on rice production, collectively responsible for yield losses estimated at 10–20% globally in normal years (Strange and Scott, 2005). Warmer temperatures accelerate the reproductive cycles of insect pests, increase overwintering survival in temperate zones, and extend the effective growing season of pathogen populations, potentially increasing the number of pest generations per season by 25–50% in some regions (Bebber et al., 2013).

The competitive dynamics between rice and weeds—already a major constraint requiring significant management investment—are also being altered by climate change. C3 weeds may benefit from elevated CO_2 similarly to rice, potentially nullifying the competitive advantages conferred by the fertilization effect. Temperature-driven changes in weed species composition are documented in temperate rice systems, where cold-tolerant northern weeds are progressively replaced by more aggressive tropical species (Rodenburg et al., 2011).

5. Regional Evidence on Yield Impacts

5.1 South Asia

South Asia, encompassing India, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, and Nepal, accounts for approximately 35% of global rice production and contains the highest concentration of rice-dependent smallholder farmers globally. The region is acutely exposed to multiple concurrent climate risks: intensifying heat waves, erratic and intensifying monsoons, increasing drought frequency in rain-shadow zones, and rising salinity threats to coastal production. The Indo-Gangetic Plain, which produces approximately 40% of South Asia's rice, is facing documented yield stagnation associated with rising minimum temperatures, declining solar radiation due to increased cloud cover and haze, and groundwater depletion driven by irrigation intensification (Ladha et al., 2003; Pathak et al., 2012).

Econometric analyses by Guiteras (2009) project per-capita agricultural income declines of 4–9% per additional degree of warming in India, with distributional consequences most severe for rain-fed and marginal farming households. In Bangladesh, where rice provides over 70% of caloric intake, climate model ensembles project yield reductions of 17–30% for Boro (dry season) rice and 8–20% for Aman (wet season) rice by 2050, with catastrophic losses possible in flood-prone and coastal zones (Basak, 2010; Karim et al., 1994).

5.2 Southeast Asia

Southeast Asia encompasses the world's most productive and biodiverse rice systems, including the Mekong River Delta, the Central Plains of Thailand, Java's intensively irrigated paddies, and the archipelago systems of the Philippines and Indonesia. The region accounts for approximately 28% of global rice production and exports over 60% of the world's traded rice, making regional yield stability a global food price concern. Vietnam's Mekong Delta—responsible

for half of the country's rice production and substantial export volumes—faces compound threats from increased flooding intensity, salinity intrusion from sea-level rise, and prolonged dry-season drought (Wassmann et al., 2004; Smajgl et al., 2015).

Crop modeling studies by Peng et al. (2004) in the Philippines demonstrated that maximum rice yields at IRRI's experimental station have declined approximately 0.6% per year since the 1990s, associated with increasing nighttime temperatures reducing respiratory efficiency. Econometric panel data analyses across Vietnam find statistically significant negative relationships between temperature variability and rice productivity at the provincial level, with small farm households showing higher yield sensitivity than larger commercial operations due to lower capacity for adaptive management inputs (Trinh et al., 2018).

5.3 East Asia

East Asia, dominated by China, Japan, and South Korea, exhibits a more heterogeneous climate impact profile. In northeastern China—one of the world's most rapidly warming agricultural regions—rising temperatures have extended the effective rice-growing season, enabled northward expansion of cultivation, and in some cases increased yields of cold-limited varieties (Tao et al., 2006). However, projections indicate that continued warming will transition these regions from a beneficial to a net negative climate impact regime by mid-century. Central and southern China face increasing heat stress during reproduction, and the Yangtze River basin is experiencing increased flooding frequency that threatens paddy infrastructure and ecosystem services. Japan's temperate rice systems face emerging challenges from novel pest species, heat-induced quality deterioration, and phenological shifts disrupting traditional production calendars (Morita et al., 2016).

5.4 Sub-Saharan Africa

Sub-Saharan Africa represents the most rapidly growing rice consumption region in the world, with production failing to keep pace with demand driven by urbanization, population growth, and changing dietary preferences. The region's rice production systems are predominantly rainfed, characterized by low input use, limited access to improved varieties and irrigation, and high exposure to drought and flooding without meaningful access to risk management instruments (Seck et al., 2012). Climate projections for sub-Saharan Africa suggest the continent will experience the largest absolute reductions in agricultural productive potential relative to current baselines under 2–4°C warming scenarios, with rice systems among the most exposed crops (Nelson et al., 2009).

Despite its vulnerability, sub-Saharan Africa is substantially underrepresented in the published literature on climate-rice interactions—a critical research gap. Existing evidence, primarily from West Africa, indicates that seasonal temperature anomalies are already reducing rainfed rice yields in Ghana, Senegal, and the Sahel zone, while projections for the Great Lakes region suggest that temperature increases will render current varieties non-viable within 30–40 years without genetic adaptation (Thornton et al., 2011). The intersection of structural poverty, governance deficits, limited agricultural extension, and high climate exposure makes the region's rice farming populations among the world's most climate-vulnerable groups.

6. Impacts on Farmer Livelihoods

6.1 Income and Economic Welfare

The economic consequences of climate-driven rice yield losses extend far beyond the immediate production shortfall. For smallholder rice farming households—who typically earn 60–80% of household income from rice cultivation and related agricultural labor—yield reductions of even 10–15% can push households below poverty thresholds, trigger asset liquidation, and eliminate savings buffers accumulated over multiple growing seasons (Barnett et al., 2008; Dercon, 2004). Panel data analyses from India demonstrate that a one standard deviation increase in rainfall variability reduces agricultural household consumption by 8–15%, with impacts persisting for two to three years after the shock (Kaur et al., 2013).

Price transmission mechanisms amplify the welfare consequences of regional production shocks. Major production failures in South or Southeast Asia—increasingly likely under intensifying climate extremes—generate global rice price spikes that disproportionately harm net rice-consuming households in urban and peri-urban areas of Asia and Africa, while simultaneously eroding the purchasing power of smallholder farmers facing input price inflation (Dawe and Slayton, 2010). The 2007–2008 rice price crisis, in which international rice prices tripled within twelve months,

demonstrated the fragility of global price stability under production shocks—a vulnerability that intensifying climate change will systematically exacerbate.

6.2 Food Security and Nutrition

Rice farming households that experience crop losses face a dual food security crisis: reduced own production for household consumption and reduced income for market purchases of food. Longitudinal studies from Bangladesh and Indonesia document significant increases in dietary diversity loss, meal frequency reduction, and child acute malnutrition rates in the aftermath of major flood and drought events affecting rice production (del Ninno et al., 2001; Iannotti and Robles, 2011). The projected reduction in rice grain protein and micronutrient concentrations under elevated CO_2—on the order of 7–10% for protein, 8% for iron, and 5% for zinc (Myers et al., 2014)—adds a nutritional quality dimension to the yield security concern, threatening the adequacy of diets for the 600+ million people who depend on rice as their near-exclusive food source.

6.3 Migration and Social Disruption

Climate-induced agricultural stress is an increasingly documented driver of rural-to-urban migration, seasonal labor migration, and in extreme cases, permanent displacement. Multivariate analyses from Bangladesh, Pakistan, and Indonesia identify rice crop failure probability as a significant predictor of household migration decisions, after controlling for demographic and structural factors (Rigaud et al., 2018; Bauer et al., 2014). The World Bank's Groundswell report (2021) projects that 216 million people could be forced to migrate within their own countries by 2050 under pessimistic climate scenarios, with agricultural system failures—including rice—being a primary driver in South and Southeast Asia. Migration decisions, while often necessary for household survival, frequently result in adverse outcomes including urban poverty, housing insecurity, family separation, and erosion of traditional ecological knowledge critical for adaptive farming.

6.4 Gender-Differentiated Impacts

Women represent 40–60% of the agricultural labor force in rice farming systems across Asia and Africa, performing the labor-intensive tasks of transplanting, weeding, harvesting, and post-harvest processing that are most directly affected by climate-driven changes in production conditions (FAO, 2011). Yet the gender-differentiated dimensions of climate vulnerability in rice systems remain profoundly under researched. Available evidence suggests that women face heightened exposure to heat stress during field labor, reduced access to credit and inputs that limits adaptive capacity, and greater responsibility for household food security management under conditions of scarcity (Quisumbing et al., 2014). Seasonal migration driven by agricultural stress disproportionately draws male household members into urban labor markets, increasing the decision-making and physical labor burdens on women remaining in agricultural communities.

6.5 Mental Health and Wellbeing

An emerging body of literature documents the psychological consequences of climate-related agricultural losses for farming communities. Qualitative and quantitative studies from South Asia and Australia identify elevated depression, anxiety, and suicide risk among farming households experiencing prolonged drought, catastrophic flood events, and economic distress associated with agricultural income shocks (Hanigan et al., 2012; Shiva, 2014). In India, where farmer suicide has been documented for decades, econometric analyses find statistically significant positive associations between drought incidence and farmer suicide rates, particularly in rain-fed agricultural districts dependent on rice and cotton (Carleton, 2017). The mental health dimension of climate-agricultural impacts represents a largely invisible and systematically underfunded consequence that must be incorporated into comprehensive livelihood impact assessments.

7. Summary of Evidence: Key Tables

Table 1. *Summary of major climate stressors, projected changes, and estimated rice yield impacts by 2100 under high-emissions scenarios.*

Climate Stressor	Projected Change (2100)	Estimated Yield Impact	Key Regions Affected
Temperature increase (+2°C)	+2°C above pre-industrial	−10 to −20% (irrigated)	South Asia, Southeast Asia
Temperature increase (+4°C)	+4°C above pre-industrial	−20 to −40% (rainfed)	Sub-Saharan Africa, S. Asia
Elevated CO_2 (700 ppm)	CO_2 fertilization effect	+5 to +10% (biomass)	Global (partial offset)
Drought (prolonged)	15–30% rainfall reduction	−25 to −50% (rainfed)	South Asia, East Africa
Flooding (flash)	Increased frequency	−30 to −100% (inundated)	Bangladesh, Mekong Delta
Salinity intrusion	Sea level rise +0.5–1 m	−10 to −25% coastal	Bangladesh, Vietnam delta
Cyclone intensification	Cat 4–5 frequency ↑	Catastrophic (localized)	Bay of Bengal, Pacific
Pest/disease shifts	Range expansion poleward	−5 to −15% additional	Temperate production zones

Table 2. *Adaptation strategies for climate-resilient rice production and livelihood protection: type, reported benefits, and target regions.*

Adaptation Strategy	Type	Reported Benefit	Target Region
Heat-tolerant varieties (e.g., DRR Dhan 42, BRRI dhan74)	Genetic/breeding	Maintains yields at +2–3°C	India, Bangladesh
Drought-resistant varieties (e.g., Sahbhagi Dhan)	Genetic/breeding	30–40% water saving	India, Philippines
Submergence-tolerant (Sub1 gene)	Genetic/breeding	Survives 2-wk flooding	Bangladesh, India
System of Rice Intensification (SRI)	Agronomic	20–50% yield increase	Global
Alternate Wetting and Drying (AWD)	Water management	25–30% water reduction	Southeast Asia
Conservation agriculture / direct seeding	Agronomic	Reduces labor & GHG	South/Southeast Asia
Crop insurance & index schemes	Economic/policy	Stabilizes farm income	Global
Community seed banks	Social/institutional	Preserves local diversity	Sub-Saharan Africa, Asia
Salinity-tolerant lines (BRRI dhan67)	Genetic/breeding	Coastal zone suitability	Coastal Bangladesh, Vietnam
Digital/precision agriculture	Technological	Input optimization	Emerging economies

Table 3. *Priority research gaps in climate change, rice production, and farmer livelihoods.*

Research Gap	Description	Priority Level
Long-term farmer livelihood modeling	Integrating biophysical and socioeconomic models across multiple climate scenarios	**Priority**
Gender-differentiated impacts	Women's differential exposure and adaptive capacity remain understudied	**Critical gap**
Sub-Saharan Africa rice systems	Disproportionate data gap relative to population exposure	**Critical gap**
CO_2–nutrition interaction	How elevated CO_2 alters protein and micronutrient content in rice grain	**Emerging**
Traditional variety resilience	Underutilized landraces with heat and drought tolerance	**Opportunity**
Non-market livelihood impacts	Cultural, psychological, and ecosystem service dimensions of farming loss	**Overlooked**
Policy effectiveness evaluation	Rigorous assessment of insurance, subsidy, and extension program outcomes	**Priority**
Compound climate events	Combined drought + heat + flood interaction effects on rice systems	**Priority**

8. Adaptation Strategies

8.1 Genetic and Breeding Approaches

The development and deployment of climate-resilient rice varieties represents the most extensively documented and widely adopted adaptation pathway. Landmark achievements include the identification and introgression of the Sub1A quantitative trait locus conferring submergence tolerance, which was bred into locally adapted varieties across South and Southeast Asia through the IRRI-led Stress-Tolerant Rice for Africa and South Asia (STRASA) project, reaching over five million farming households by 2015 (Ismail et al., 2013). Field trials demonstrate that Sub1 varieties in Bangladesh and India survive up to 17 days of complete submergence with yield recoveries exceeding 60–70% compared to near-total losses in conventional varieties (Mackill et al., 2012).

Heat tolerance breeding has progressed more slowly, reflecting the polygenic complexity of thermotolerance traits and the epistatic interactions between temperature response, flowering time, and yield components. Varieties with improved spikelet fertility at temperatures of 36–38°C have been identified in IRRI's genebank, and recent advances in genomic selection are accelerating the introgression of thermotolerance alleles into high-yielding backgrounds (Ye et al., 2012). Drought-tolerant varieties such as Sahbhagi Dhan in India, BRRI dhan56 in Bangladesh, and NSIC Rc192 in the Philippines have demonstrated yield advantages of 15–30% over conventional varieties under moderate moisture stress in farmers' fields, though adoption remains constrained by seed system limitations and variety performance variability across diverse drought environments (Verulkar et al., 2010).

8.2 Agronomic and Water Management Innovations

Beyond genetic approaches, agronomic innovations offer significant potential for reducing climate vulnerability in rice systems. Alternate Wetting and Drying (AWD), developed and validated at IRRI, involves controlled cycles of soil drying between irrigations, reducing water consumption by 15–30% without significant yield penalty in irrigated systems while simultaneously reducing methane emissions—a greenhouse gas co-benefit that aligns adaptation with mitigation objectives (Lampayan et al., 2015). A complementary approach, the System of Rice Intensification (SRI), promotes widely spaced transplanting of young seedlings, intermittent irrigation, organic soil amendments, and enhanced mechanical weeding, with reported yield increases of 20–50% in on-farm trials across Asia and Africa, though results are heterogeneous and context-dependent (Thakur et al., 2010).

Conservation agriculture approaches including direct seeded rice—avoiding nursery transplanting and reducing land preparation water requirements—are gaining traction as labor costs rise and water availability declines in South Asian irrigated systems, with demonstrable improvements in water productivity and resilience to early-season flooding (Chauhan et al., 2012). Climate-smart agriculture packages integrating improved varieties, precision fertilization, and water-saving irrigation are being promoted through FAO, World Bank, and CGIAR programs, with evidence of positive livelihood outcomes where sufficient extension and input supply system support is provided.

8.3 Financial Instruments and Social Protection

Agricultural risk transfer through climate index-based insurance represents one of the most intensively studied economic adaptation mechanisms for climate-vulnerable smallholder rice farmers. Index-based crop insurance, which pays out based on measurable meteorological or agronomic indices rather than individual farm loss assessment, circumvents the high administrative costs and adverse selection problems of indemnity insurance, making it more viable for smallholder scaling. Randomized controlled trial evidence from India, Bangladesh, Thailand, and the Philippines demonstrates that access to index insurance increases risk-taking in productive investments, reduces distress asset sales following adverse weather shocks, and improves food security outcomes (Cole et al., 2013; Barnett et al., 2008). However, basis risk—the divergence between the index indicator and actual farm-level losses—remains a fundamental challenge limiting insurance uptake and welfare effectiveness.

Social protection programs including conditional cash transfers, public works employment guarantees, and food assistance programs play a critical complementary role in buffering smallholder rice farmers against climate shocks that exceed the capacity of insurance and savings mechanisms to absorb. The success of India's Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act in providing countercyclical income support during drought years demonstrates the potential of rights-based social protection frameworks to reduce climate vulnerability in rice-dependent populations (Liu and Barrett, 2013).

9. Discussion

The global evidence base reviewed here presents a compelling and increasingly urgent picture of climate change as a systemic threat to rice production systems and the farming communities that depend upon them. Three overarching conclusions emerge from this synthesis. First, the biophysical impacts of climate change on rice are already measurable, regionally diverse, and in the most exposed systems—particularly rainfed lowland and coastal rice production zones—of sufficient magnitude to constitute a present-day food security challenge rather than a future risk. Temperature-driven yield penalties, documented across major production regions at current warming levels of approximately 1.1°C, will compound and accelerate under all plausible emissions trajectories through 2100.

Second, the livelihood consequences of climate-driven rice yield reductions are disproportionately borne by the world's most vulnerable farming populations—small and marginal holders in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa, women, and households in coastal and drought-prone zones. The income, food security, migration, and mental health pathways through which climate stress translates into livelihood insecurity are increasingly documented, revealing a deeply inequitable distribution of climate impacts that mirrors and reinforces pre-existing development inequalities. Climate justice considerations must therefore be central to both the framing of the research agenda and the design of policy responses.

Third, while an impressive portfolio of adaptation strategies spanning genetic improvement, agronomic innovation, risk finance, and social protection has been developed and validated, the evidence on scaling these approaches to population-level impact remains thin. The gap between demonstrated technical potential and achieved adoption is widest in Sub-Saharan Africa and among the most marginalized smallholder populations—precisely where the need is greatest. Closing this gap will require not only continued technological development but transformative improvements in input supply systems, extension services, credit access, land tenure security, and governance of rural water management institutions.

A critical methodological challenge confronting the field is the disconnect between biophysical modeling approaches—which have dominated the literature on projected yield impacts—and socioeconomic frameworks for assessing livelihood vulnerability. Most crop model simulations abstract away the heterogeneity of farm household circumstances, adaptive capacity constraints, and institutional environments that determine how biophysical production shocks translate into welfare outcomes. Greater methodological integration between agricultural systems modeling and rural development economics represents a priority research investment for the coming decade.

10. Research Gaps and Future Directions

Based on our synthesis of the existing literature, we identify the following as the highest-priority research gaps requiring sustained investment. Sub-Saharan African rice systems require substantially greater research attention given the region's combination of high population vulnerability, rapid consumption growth, and severe data scarcity. Integrated modeling frameworks that couple biophysical crop models with agent-based socioeconomic models are needed to generate more realistic projections of climate impacts on farm household welfare. The gender-differentiated dimensions of climate vulnerability in rice systems require dedicated primary research using mixed-methods household study designs that capture both quantitative welfare outcomes and qualitative dimensions of adaptive agency.

The nutritional quality implications of both elevated CO_2 and adaptation measures—including how improved varieties affect grain protein, micronutrient content, and glycemic index—deserve greater priority in breeding programs and food systems research. The efficacy, equity implications, and political economy of scaling climate insurance and social protection programs require more rigorous evaluation through large-sample randomized and quasi-experimental designs. Finally, the largely neglected mental health and psychosocial dimensions of climate-agricultural stress warrant dedicated research investment and integration into holistic climate vulnerability assessment frameworks.

11. Conclusion

Rice production systems and the farming communities that depend on them face a profound and accelerating challenge from climate change. This global review confirms that temperature increases, shifting precipitation regimes, increasing

extreme weather events, salinity intrusion, and compound climate stressors are already reducing rice yields, threatening farmer livelihoods, and undermining food security across the world's most rice-dependent populations. The evidence further demonstrates that adaptation is both technically possible and, in specific contexts, economically viable—but that the gap between technological potential and realized impact at scale remains large and widening.

Meeting this challenge will require simultaneous progress on multiple fronts: accelerated development and deployment of climate-resilient rice varieties through public and private plant breeding investment; institutional reforms to improve smallholder access to water, land, credit, insurance, and extension services; strengthened social protection systems that buffer vulnerable farming households against unavoidable climate losses; and political commitment to deep emissions reductions that limit the ultimate degree of warming to which rice systems and their farmers must adapt. The convergence of food security, poverty reduction, gender equity, and climate justice imperatives in the global rice challenge makes it one of the defining development policy problems of the 21st century—one that demands commensurate investment of scientific, financial, and political capital.

Declarations

Funding: This research received no specific external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability: All data supporting the conclusions of this review are available within the cited published literature.

Copyright

The authors' publications in IJMIRR are distributed under Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The license was created for the purpose of promoting open access, i.e. free immediate access and unlimited reuse of all forms of original works.

References

[1] Ainsworth, E.A. (2008). Rice production in a changing climate: a meta-analysis of responses to elevated carbon dioxide and elevated ozone concentration. *Global Change Biology*, 14(7), 1642–1650. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01594.x

[2] Ali, M.H., Adham, A.K.M., & Rahman, M.M. (2012). Influence of salinity on some yield attributes of rice. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 12(23), 1536–1541.

[3] Asseng, S., Ewert, F., Martre, P., et al. (2013). Uncertainty in simulating wheat yields under climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(9), 827–832. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1916

[4] Barnett, B.J., Barrett, C.B., & Skees, J.R. (2008). Poverty traps and index-based risk transfer products. *World Development*, 36(10), 1766–1785.

[5] Basak, J.K. (2010). *Agricultural vulnerability of Bangladesh to climate change-induced sea level rise and options for adaptation: A study of three coastal districts*. University of Exeter PhD Dissertation.

[6] Battisti, D.S., & Naylor, R.L. (2009). Historical warnings of future food insecurity with unprecedented seasonal heat. *Science*, 323(5911), 240–244. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1164363

[7] Bauer, J.M., Dillon, A., & Barrett, C.B. (2014). Welfare dynamics in rural Bangladesh: Evidence from panel data. *World Development*, 57, 197–213.

[8] Bebber, D.P., Ramotowski, M.A.T., & Gurr, S.J. (2013). Crop pests and pathogens move polewards in a warming world. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(11), 985–988.

[9] Bouman, B.A.M., Humphreys, E., Tuong, T.P., & Barker, R. (2007). Rice and water. *Advances in Agronomy*, 92, 187–237.

[10] Carleton, T.A. (2017). *Crop-damaging temperatures increase suicide rates in India*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114(33), 8746–8751.

[11] Chauhan, B.S., Singh, R.G., & Mahajan, G. (2012). Ecology and management of weeds under conservation agriculture: A review. *Crop Protection*, 38, 57–65.

[12] Cline, W.R. (2007). Global Warming and Agriculture: Impact Estimates by Country. Peterson Institute for International Economics, Washington, DC.

[13] Cole, S., Giné, X., Tobacman, J., Topalova, P., Townsend, R., & Vickery, J. (2013). Barriers to household risk management: Evidence from India. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 5(1), 104–135.
[14] Dai, A. (2013). Increasing drought under global warming in observations and models. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(1), 52–58.
[15] Dasgupta, S., Hossain, M.M., Huq, M., & Wheeler, D. (2014). Climate change, salinization and high-yield rice production in coastal Bangladesh. *Agricultural and Resource Economics Review*, 44(3), 66–89.
[16] Dawe, D., & Slayton, T. (2010). The world rice market crisis of 2007–2008. In D. Dawe (Ed.), The Rice Crisis: Markets, Policies and Food Security. FAO and Earthscan, Rome.
[17] del Ninno, C., Dorosh, P.A., Smith, L.C., & Roy, D.K. (2001). The 1998 Floods in Bangladesh: Disaster Impacts, Household Coping Strategies, and Response. Research Report 122. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC.
[18] Dercon, S. (2004). Growth and shocks: Evidence from rural Ethiopia. *Journal of Development Economics*, 74(2), 309–329.
[19] Ella, E.S., Kawano, N., Yamauchi, Y., Tanaka, K., & Ismail, A.M. (2003). Blocking ethylene perception enhances flooding tolerance in rice seedlings. *Functional Plant Biology*, 30(7), 813–819.
[20] FAO (2011). The State of Food and Agriculture 2010–2011: Women in Agriculture. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
[21] FAO (2023). FAOSTAT Database. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. http://www.fao.org/faostat/
[22] Fischer, G., Nachtergaele, F., van Velthuizen, H., et al. (2014). Global agro-ecological zones (GAEZ v3.0). FAO/IIASA, Rome/Laxenburg.
[23] Guiteras, R. (2009). The impact of climate change on Indian agriculture. Department of Economics, University of Maryland Working Paper.
[24] Hakim, M.A., Juraimi, A.S., Hanafi, M.M., Ismail, M.R., Rafii, M.Y., Islam, M.M., & Selamat, A. (2014). The effect of salinity on growth, ion accumulation and yield of rice varieties. *Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*, 24(3), 874–885.
[25] Hanigan, I.C., Butler, C.D., Kokic, P.N., & Hutchinson, M.F. (2012). *Suicide and drought in New South Wales, Australia*, 1970–2007. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 109(35), 13950–13955.
[26] Iannotti, L., & Robles, M. (2011). Improving food security and nutrition: Understanding the role of livestock. FAO, Rome.
[27] IPCC (2021). Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
[28] Ismail, A.M., Singh, U.S., Singh, S., Dar, M.H., & Mackill, D.J. (2013). The contribution of submergence-tolerant (Sub1) rice varieties to food security in flood-prone rainfed lowland areas in Asia. *Field Crops Research*, 152, 83–93.
[29] Jagadish, S.V.K., Craufurd, P.Q., & Wheeler, T.R. (2007). High temperature stress and spikelet fertility in rice (Oryza sativa L.). *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 58(7), 1627–1635.
[30] Karim, M.F., Mimura, N., Murashima, K., & Harasawa, H. (1994). Sea-level rise and Bangladesh. *Journal of the Coastal Research*, 10(3), 715–726.
[31] Kaur, P., Singh, K.D., & Hundal, S.S. (2013). Impact of climate variability on agricultural income in India. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 68(1), 38–52.
[32] Khush, G.S. (2005). What it will take to feed 5.0 billion rice consumers in 2030. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 59, 1–6.
[33] Laborte, A.G., de Bie, K.C.A.J.M., Smaling, E.M.A., et al. (2012). Rice yields and yield gaps in Southeast Asia: Past trends and future outlook. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 36(1), 9–20.
[34] Ladha, J.K., Fischer, K.S., Hossain, M., Hobbs, P.R., & Hardy, B. (2003). Improving the productivity and sustainability of rice–wheat systems: Issues and impact. IRRI Discussion Paper No. 40. International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños.
[35] Lampayan, R.M., Rejesus, R.M., Singleton, G.R., & Bouman, B.A.M. (2015). Adoption and economics of alternate wetting and drying water management for irrigated lowland rice. *Field Crops Research*, 170, 95–108.
[36] Lanceras, J.C., Pantuwan, G., Jongdee, B., & Toojinda, T. (2004). Quantitative trait loci associated with drought tolerance at reproductive stage in rice. *Plant Physiology*, 135(1), 384–399.
[37] Liu, Y., & Barrett, C.B. (2013). Heterogeneous pro-poor targeting in India's Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 48(10), 46–53.
[38] Lobell, D.B., Schlenker, W., & Costa-Roberts, J. (2011). Climate trends and global crop production since 1980. *Science*, 333(6042), 616–620. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1204531
[39] Long, S.P., Ainsworth, E.A., Leakey, A.D.B., Nösberger, J., & Ort, D.R. (2006). Food for thought: Lower-than-expected crop yield stimulation with rising CO_2 concentrations. *Science*, 312(5782), 1918–1921.
[40] Mackill, D.J., Ismail, A.M., Singh, U.S., Labios, R.V., & Paris, T.R. (2012). Development and rapid adoption of submergence-tolerant (Sub1) rice varieties. *Advances in Agronomy*, 115, 299–352.
[41] Mohanty, S. (2013). Trends in global rice consumption. Rice Today, 12(1), 44–45.

[42] Morita, S., Yonemaru, J.-I., & Takanashi, J.-I. (2005). Grain growth and endosperm cell size under high night temperatures in rice (Oryza sativa L.). *Annals of Botany*, 95(4), 695–701.
[43] Morita, S., Tabata, M., Fujita, D., Yoshida, T., Nakagawa, H., & Fukushima, A. (2016). High-temperature stress. In V. Pareek (Ed.), Abiotic Stress Physiology of Horticultural Crops. *Springer*, New Delhi.
[44] Morton, J.F. (2007). *The impact of climate change on smallholder and subsistence agriculture*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 104(50), 19680–19685.
[45] Munns, R., & Tester, M. (2008). Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 59, 651–681.
[46] Myers, S.S., Zanobetti, A., Kloog, I., Huybers, P., Leakey, A.D.B., Bloom, A.J., et al. (2014). Increasing CO_2 threatens human nutrition. *Nature*, 510(7503), 139–142.
[47] Nelson, G.C., Rosegrant, M.W., Koo, J., Robertson, R., Sulser, T., Zhu, T., et al. (2009). Climate Change: Impact on Agriculture and Costs of Adaptation. Food Policy Report. IFPRI, Washington, DC.
[48] Pandey, S., Bhandari, H., & Hardy, B. (Eds.) (2010). Economic Costs of Drought and Rice Farmers' Coping Mechanisms: A Cross-Country Comparative Analysis. International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños.
[49] Pathak, H., Aggarwal, P.K., & Singh, S.D. (Eds.) (2012). Climate Change Impact, Adaptation and Mitigation in Agriculture: Methodology for Assessment and Applications. Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi.
[50] Peng, S., Huang, J., Sheehy, J.E., Laza, R.C., Visperas, R.M., Zhong, X., et al. (2004). Rice yields decline with higher night temperature from global warming. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 101(27), 9971–9975.
[51] Quisumbing, A.R., Rubin, D., Manfre, C., Waithanji, E., van den Bold, M., Olney, D., et al. (2014). Gender, Assets, and Market-Oriented Agriculture. Lessons from the GAAP2 Research. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC.
[52] Rigaud, K.K., de Sherbinin, A., Jones, B., Bergmann, J., Clement, V., Ober, K., et al. (2018). Groundswell: Preparing for Internal Climate Migration. World Bank, Washington, DC.
[53] Rodenburg, J., Meinke, H., & Johnson, D.E. (2011). Challenges for weed management in African rice systems in a changing climate. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 149(4), 427–435.
[54] Rosenzweig, C., Elliott, J., Deryng, D., Ruane, A.C., Müller, C., Arneth, A., et al. (2014). *Assessing agricultural risks of climate change in the 21st century in a global gridded crop model intercomparison*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111(9), 3268–3273.
[55] Seck, P.A., Diagne, A., Mohanty, S., & Wopereis, M.C.S. (2012). Crops that feed the world 7: Rice. Food Security, 4(1), 7–24.
[56] Sheffield, J., & Wood, E.F. (2008). Projected changes in drought occurrence under future global warming from multi-model, multi-scenario, IPCC AR4 simulations. *Climate Dynamics*, 31(1), 79–105.
[57] Shimono, H., Okada, M., Yamakawa, Y., Nakamura, H., Kobayashi, K., & Hasegawa, T. (2009). Rice yield enhancement by elevated CO_2 is reduced in cool weather. *Global Change Biology*, 15(2), 276–284.
[58] Shiva, V. (2014). Seeds of Suicide: The Ecological and Human Costs of Globalization of Agriculture. Research Foundation for Science, Technology, and Ecology, New Delhi.
[59] Smajgl, A., Toan, T.Q., Nhan, D.K., Ward, J., Trung, N.H., Tri, L.Q., et al. (2015). Responding to rising sea levels in the Mekong Delta. *Nature Climate Change*, 5(2), 167–174.
[60] Strange, R.N., & Scott, P.R. (2005). Plant disease: A threat to global food security. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 43, 83–116.
[61] Tao, F., Yokozawa, M., Xu, Y., Hayashi, Y., & Zhang, Z. (2006). Climate changes and trends in phenology and yields of field crops in China, 1981–2000. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 138(1–4), 82–92.
[62] Thakur, A.K., Uphoff, N., & Antony, E. (2010). An assessment of physiological effects of system of rice intensification (SRI) practices compared with recommended rice cultivation practices in India. *Experimental Agriculture*, 46(1), 77–98.
[63] Thornton, P.K., Jones, P.G., Ericksen, P.J., & Challinor, A.J. (2011). Agriculture and food systems in sub-Saharan Africa in a 4°C+ world. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A*, 369(1934), 117–136.
[64] Tian, Z., Cao, H., Dong, W., Li, J., Chen, D., & Zheng, J. (2009). Effects of high temperature after heading on chalkiness of rice in eastern China. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*, 51(1), 57–65.
[65] Trinh, T.Q., Rañola, R.F., Camacho, L.D., & Simelton, E. (2018). Determinants of farmers' adaptation to climate change in agricultural production in the central region of Vietnam. *Land Use Policy*, 70, 224–231.
[66] Verulkar, S.B., Mandal, N.P., Dwivedi, J.L., Singh, B.N., Sinha, P.K., Mahato, R.N., et al. (2010). Breeding resilient and productive genotypes adapted to drought-prone rainfed ecosystem of India. *Field Crops Research*, 117(2–3), 197–208.
[67] Wassmann, R., Hien, N.T.K., Hoanh, C.T., & Tuong, T.P. (2004). Sea level rise affecting the Vietnamese Mekong Delta: Water elevation in the flood season and implications for rice production. *Climatic Change*, 66(1–2), 89–107.

[68] Wassmann, R., Jagadish, S.V.K., Sumfleth, K., Pathak, H., Howell, G., Ismail, A., et al. (2009). Regional vulnerability of climate change impacts on Asian rice production and scope for adaptation. *Advances in Agronomy*, 102, 91–133.
[69] World Bank (2021). Groundswell Part 2: Acting on Internal Climate Migration. World Bank, Washington, DC.
[70] World Bank (2022). World Development Indicators. https://databank.worldbank.org/
[71] Ye, C., Tenorio, F.A., Argayoso, M.A., Laza, M.A., Koh, H.-J., Redoña, E.D., et al. (2012). Identifying and confirming quantitative trait loci associated with heat tolerance at flowering stage in different rice populations. BMC Genetics, 13, 1–10.
[72] Yoshida, S. (1981). Fundamentals of Rice Crop Science. International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños, Philippines.
[73] Zhao, C., Liu, B., Piao, S., Wang, X., Lobell, D.B., Huang, Y., et al. (2017). Temperature increase reduces global yields of major crops in four independent estimates. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114(35), 9326–9331.
[74] Zhu, C., Kobayashi, K., Loladze, I., Zhu, J., Jiang, Q., Xu, X., et al. (2018). Carbon dioxide (CO_2) levels this century will alter the protein, micronutrients, and vitamin content of rice grains with potential health consequences for the poorest rice-dependent countries. *Science Advances*, 4(5), eaaq1012.